Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/069,627	DEPOOT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	John M Petruncio	1751
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>John M Petruncio</u> .	(3)	•
(2) Armina E. Matthews, Esq.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 June 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
101, 112, 103		
Claims discussed:		
all		
Prior art documents discussed: primary references		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: agrrement was reached as to patentable subject matter fully supported by the specification and reservation of rights to file continuing application(s) to other invention embodiments		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
Mar Vitus		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)